UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHELE CATALANO,) Plaintiff)	05 - 11729 JL
v.) BRIDGE OVER TROUBLED WATERS, INC.,) Defendant)	Civil Action No. 20.00 AMOUNT \$ 20.00 SUMMONS ISSUED \ LOCAL RULE 4.1 \ WAIVER FORM \ MCF ISSUED \
COMPLAINT, COMPENSATORY AND INJ	BY DPTY. CLK.

AND JURY DEMAND

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>.

This is an action for discrimination and retaliation brought by the plaintiff, Michele Catalano, the former Director of Human Resources of the defendant, Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc., a private, non-profit agency in Boston, Massachusetts. As set forth below, the plaintiff was fired because she filed a grievance with the Board of Directors protesting the Executive Director's repeated orders not to consider white candidates for a number of vacancies within the organization, and to only hire blacks or other minorities, even when the most qualified candidate was a non-minority. After filing a grievance with the Board of Directors protesting such discriminatory hiring practices, the plaintiff, who worked for the defendant for 13 years, was terminated. The plaintiff seeks back pay, front pay, punitive damages, emotional distress damages, and attorney's fees.

II. JURISDICTION.

The jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e).

III. PARTIES.

- The plaintiff, Michele Catalano, is an adult resident of Revere,
 Massachusetts and is Caucasian.
- 2. The defendant, Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc., is, on information and belief, a private, non-profit corporation with approximately 50 employees and which has a principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. The Executive Director of the defendant is Shiela Moore, a black woman.

IV. <u>FACTS</u>.

- 3. Plaintiff, Michele Catalano, has a degree from Worcester State College and has worked for defendant, Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc., for most of the last 14 years. She has worked there as a counselor, has been the director of a program, and held other key positions. In 2004, the former Executive Director, Barbara Wheland, asked the plaintiff to become Director of Human Resources for the organization, and plaintiff accepted.
- Towards the end of 2004, the defendant hired a new Executive Director,
 Shiela Moore, who is black.

- 5. Beginning in early 2005, and continuing thereafter, on a number of occasions Ms. Moore informed the plaintiff that she would insist that a Latino or African-American be hired for certain positions with the defendant, notwithstanding qualifications. Specifically, on several occasions Ms. Moore stated that the "Residential Coordinator," "Day Program Counselors," and "Residential Counselors" had to all be either African-American or Latino. The only exception Ms. Moore indicated was that if a white person, Christine Lowe, were to leave or be fired, that since she was white, it would be okay to hire a white replacement.
- 6. The plaintiff, as Director of Human Resources, had responsibility for gathering resumes of interested and qualified candidates and then conducting interviews along with program directors where the vacancy existed. The plaintiff became quite concerned that she was being asked, as a Human Resources Director, to violate both state and federal laws by turning down individuals of one race for positions, despite their qualifications, and without considering them on an individual basis.
- 7. In addition, as a result of her concern, the plaintiff located and reviewed the defendant's "Affirmative Action Plan" to see if it was permissible to reserve certain positions solely for individuals of one race or ethnic background. The plaintiff read such affirmative action plan and determined that such plan did not permit such specific race-based hiring.
- 8. In the winter of 2005, the plaintiff began interviewing candidates for two vacancies which the defendant had for "Transitional Day Program Counselors." Plaintiff interviewed two candidates which she believed were a good match for the jobs, one candidate was African-American and the other was a white female. The plaintiff

proceeded to set up further interviews for these candidates with staff members. The African-American candidate took a position with a different organization, and the staff agreed with plaintiff that the white female should be hired.

- 9. However, on or about February 15, 2005, the plaintiff was informed that Shiela Moore, the Executive Director, refused to hire the white candidate because she was white and that black persons had to be hired for both vacancies.
- 10. Although plaintiff was upset and concerned about such hiring practices, she did not say anything at the time and proceeded to move forward and hire two African-American candidates for each of the Transitional Day Program Counselor positions.
- 11. On yet another occasion, plaintiff again understood that she would have to hire a minority for a "Residential Coordinator" position. Accordingly, in March of 2005, she presented a Latino candidate for that position and Ms. Moore hired him on the spot.
- 12. During April and May of 2005, plaintiff became increasingly concerned that she was engaging in illegal hiring conduct, and after consulting state and federal law, determined that she could no longer sit quiet while such hiring practices were taking place. Accordingly, on or about May 11, 2005, she filed a grievance/complaint with the Executive Director, Shiela Moore, which she forwarded to the Board of Directors, complaining that the defendant was engaging in unlawful discrimination, and requesting that appropriate action be taken.
- 13. On or about June 21, 2005, plaintiff was informed that she was being terminated from her position as Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc. because she would not carry out the directives of the Executive Director. In addition, plaintiff was offered a

substantial payment if she would sign a release, including a provision for confidentiality and non-disparagement, that would effectively prevent her from detailing the discrimination of the defendant and Ms. Moore. Plaintiff refused to sign the agreement.

COUNTI

(42 U.S.C. § 2000(e))

14. The conduct of the defendant as set forth above constitutes unlawful retaliation as a result of plaintiff engaging in activity protected by Title VII, or refusing to engage in activity made unlawful by Title VII, and therefore defendant is guilty of unlawful retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e).

COUNT II

(Retaliation – State Law)

15. The conduct of the defendants as set forth above also constitutes retaliation against plaintiff because she engaged in activity protected by Chapter 151B, and refused to engage in activity which Chapter 151B makes unlawful, in violation of the anti-retaliation provisions of M.G.L. ch. 151B, §4.

COUNT III

(42 U.S.C. § 1981)

16. The actions of the defendant as set forth above constitute unlawful discrimination based on race and unlawful retaliation in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

COUNT IV

(42 U.S.C. §2000(d))

17. The actions of the defendant as set forth above constitute unlawful discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(d). The defendant receives federal funds and, therefore, is subject to Title VI.

COUNT V

(42 U.S.C. § 2000(e))

18. The conduct of the defendant as set forth above constitutes unlawful discrimination based upon race in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e).

COUNT VI

(M.G.L. ch. 151B, §4)

19. The termination of the plaintiff as set forth above constitutes unlawful discrimination based upon race, in violation of the non-discrimination provisions of M.G.L. ch. 151B, §4.

JURY DEMAND

20. The plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all of her claims.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays this court enter appropriate equitable and compensatory relief, including attorneys fees, and such other and further relief as to this court is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHELE CATALANO, By her attorneys,

Dated: August 22, 2005

Harold L. Lichten, BBO #549689 Pyle, Rome, Lichten, Ehrenberg &

Liss-Riordan, P.C. 18 Tremont St., Ste. 500 Boston, MA 02108 (617) 367-7200 SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	<u>,</u>	100 a 1 100 au				
Michele Catal	ano		DEFERDANTS					
Michele Catal		Bridge Ov	Bridge Over Troubled Waters, Inc.					
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Suffolk	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant					
Œ	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)				
		NOTE: IN LAND	CONDEMNATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATION OF THE				
Harold L. Lic	hten	LANDI	NVOLVED.	_				
Pyle Rome I. (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Riordan, P.C.	ichten Ehrenberg & Liss- Address, and Telephone Number)	Attornays of Kno n)	Attorneys (5 n) - 11729					
	., Ste. 500, Boston, MA 02	108						
II. BASIS OF JURISD		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)	of def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF _DEF				
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State X2	i Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	incipal Place 🗍 4 🔼 4				
☐ 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and I	Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5				
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In					
	,	Citizen or Subject of a	3 O 3 Foreign Nation	06 06				
		Foreign Country	- C - Croigh rimon					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		Lacon Marine (n. P. Angle)	The Address of the Control of the Co					
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	Y 610 Agriculture		OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment				
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airpiane ☐ 362 Personal Injury		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust				
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking				
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881	WD CONTOUR DIGITIES	450 Commerce				
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Slander		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and				
151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	650 Airline Regs.	830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations				
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Tradomark	480 Consumer Credit				
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	TY Safety/Health 690 Other		490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service				
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 370 State 1 factoring		SOCIAL SECURITY	B50 Securities/Commodities/				
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange				
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damag		☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	875 Customer Challenge				
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Product Liability	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions				
196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts				
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		PEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act				
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 510 Motions to Vaca	te 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters B94 Energy Allocation Act				
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	B95 Freedom of Information				
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General		26 USC 7609	Act				
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot			☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination				
290 All Other Real Floperty	Employment	lier		Under Equal Access to Justice				
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition	1		950 Constitutionality of				
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			State Statutes				
XX1 Original D 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) Removed from Remanded from	- Reinstated or - anoth	ferred from 6 Multidist					
Proceeding S	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	Reopened (speciare filing (Do not cite jurisdiction						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 U.S.C. \$2000 and 4.	2 U.S.C. §1981						
M				-15				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint:				
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNE OF RECORD						
	Chan and al	7 1 1	,					
8/22/05 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	HW VOVOC	Mr. Moor						
	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of	case (nam	e of first party on eac	n side only)_	Michele Catala	ano v	. Br	idge Ove	r Tro	uble	d	_
	Wa	ters,]	Inc.						٠.			
2.	. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of sult code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).											
	_	ł.	160, 410, 470, 535, R	.23, REGARD	LESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.						
	<u>X</u>	11.			540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 0, 890, 892-894, 895, 95			so complete patent, trad				i
	_	III.	315, 320, 330, 340, 3 380, 385, 450, 891,	45, 350, 355,	230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,			1 7	pary d	^	<i>~</i> , 1	TV PR
		۱۷.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 87	60, 480, 490, 70, 871, 875, 9	510, 530, 610, 620, 63 900.	J 403	0, 680,	ll		4		
		٧.	150, 152, 153.									
3.		t please inc			I rule 40.1(g)). If more rst filed case in this co		e prior	related cas	e has bee	en filed	in this	
		·	hatween the same n	ertipe and ha	sed on the same claim	ever he	en file	d in this cou	irt?		-	-
♣.	nas a	prior action	r between the same p	arties and ba	sed on the same claim	YES		NO	.⊠			
5.			int in this case question	on the consti	tutionality of an act of	congres	s affec	ting the pul	blic intere	est?	(See 28	
	USC §	2403)				YES		NO	X			
	If so, is	s the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent o	or employee o	of the U.S. a party?	123		NO	_			
						YES		NO				
6.	is this	case requi	red to be heard and d	etermined by	a district court of thre	e judge	s purs	uant to title	28 USC §	2284?		
						YE\$		NO	X			
7.					nmental agencies of th g in Massachusetts re							۸.
	Massa	(governmental agents	. , rooidii	g III maaaaanaaaaaa Too	YES		NO NO		ocai ic	40.1(u)	<i>)</i> .
		Α.	If ves. in which divis	sion do all of	the non-governmental	narties	reside	.7				,
			Eastern Division	<u> </u>	Central Division				ern Divis	ion		
B. If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies, residing in Massachusetts reside?												
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Divis	ion		
8.					s pending in the state of	ourt rec	luiring	the attentio	n of this	Court?	(If yes,	
	Supini	t a separat	e sheet identifying the	motions		YES		NO				
•		TYPE OR P	Unwold I	Lichter	,			,,,,				
		Y'S NAME					1.0	Тисте	C+	C + -	500	Rooten Wi
		NE NO.	((17)		perg & Liss-Ric	roan,	18	Tremont	St.,	ste.	. 500,	02108
''			(017) 507						(Catego	ryForm	wpd -5/2/0	- 5)